WORKGROUP: PRESERVATION

STRATEGIC ISSUE:

1. Improve interagency (MSHDA, HUD, Rural Housing) and development community cooperation and communication on inventory and programs.

FINIAL DECOMMENDATION(C)	ACTION OTEDO	14/110 1 1 6 11
FINAL RECOMMENDATION(S):	ACTION STEPS needed for follow up	WHO must be involved in follow-up and
	and implementation:	implementation:
 Create an interagency (MSHDA, HUD, Rural Housing) inventory of federally assisted properties, possibly through a contractor, and design a mechanism for maintaining the database. Enter baseline data by June 06 and include enough data to begin due diligence investigations for investors. 	 Level of Affordability 	MSHDA, HUD, RD, Contractor, industry representative
Create an interagency database of preservation programs and design a mechanism for maintaining the database.		MSHDA, HUD, RD, Contractor, industry representative
Design a mechanism for developers interested in preserving affordable housing to view (possibly web based) the interagency inventory data and programs.		MSHDA, HUD, RD, Contractor, industry representative
Appoint an interagency team to assist developers interested in completing their due diligence and in understanding programs.		MSHDA, HUD, RD, Contractor, industry representative
5. Develop an cross agency marketing		5. MSHDA, HUD, RD, Contractor,

plan to advertise preservation
programs and consider the
possibility of identifying
MSHDA/HUD/Rural top 10 most
troubled properties. Consider
purchasing foreclosed HUD 202
properties, or other formerly assisted
properties, as a local unit of
government and remarketing them
with tax exempt financing.

- Conduct a separate joint annual preservation conference for sophisticated developers and investors and participate in the Michigan Affordable Housing Conference.
- 7. Create interagency programs with MSHDA tax exempt financing such as USDA Demonstration Program and 202 preservation programs.

industry representative

6. MSHDA, HUD, RD, MAHMA, industry representative

7. MSHDA, HUD, RD, industry representative

- Data Ownership, Software compatibility, Agency Firewalls, and ongoing commitment to keeping data fresh
- Lack of available human resources and organizational structured capacity
- Competing interests among agencies and industry partners
- Ongoing data support
- · General market conditions and economic constraints

WORKGROUP: PRESERVATION

STRATEGIC ISSUE:

2. Recommend government funding priorities for preservation and target resources that increase the length and level of preserved affordability.

FINAL RECOMMENDATION(S):	ACTION STEPS needed for follow up	WHO must be involved in follow-up and
TIMAL REGOMMENDATION(6).	and implementation:	implementation:
 Identify recommendations to the QAP that favor preservation. Consider the following initiatives: Set aside 35% of LIHTC 9% rehab credits for preservation of properties that have federal assistance and where unmet needs exceed \$10,000 per unit Limit LIHTC to no more than \$500,000 in the 9% Preservation Pool, or a comparable per/unit amount. For example, all applicants requesting more than \$500,000 should either compete for 4% credits or be placed in General Pool Waive certain third-party reports under certain circumstances (i.e. market studies/rent comps), or alternatively, permit "short form" reports. Eliminate application points for applicants using HOME funds, CDBG, CIP, AHP. 		1. MSHDA, HUD, RD, Michigan Housing Council, Developer Community (not represented by Housing Council), MAHMA, Investor Representatives, Municipalities, Congressional Delegation

- Support continuation of a fair and equitable selection system such as the current lottery process, or other reasonable alternate system.
- Identify properties most in need of preservation either through risk of converting to market rate or loss of subsidy due to deterioration and target programs accordingly. Consider the following additional resources for these properties:
 - Allocate HOME funds annually for use with 4% LIHTC transactions, but limit use to properties that are at risk of loss due to deterioration. HOME use would require that all other preservations options be exhausted.
 - Allocate project-based vouchers for preservation possibly where units are made available for special needs.
 - Working with local units of government, combine CDBG with other preservation programs.
- 3. Set aside enough bond cap to satisfy the demand for preservation of federally assisted properties eligible to prepay their MSHDA mortgages, opt out of their federal subsidy contract or where their affordable use restrictions will soon expire.

2. MSHDA, HUD, RD, Michigan Housing Council, Developer Community (not represented by Housing Council), MAHMA, Investor Representatives, Municipalities, Congressional Delegation

3. MSHDA, HUD, RD, Michigan Housing Council, Developer Community (not represented by Housing Council), Investor Representative

- 4. Develop or create incentives in exchange for increased length and level of affordability, maximize benefits to residents, and additional resident services in standard programs such as:
 - Increase limited distributions
 - Higher developer fees
 - Waiving of oversight fees
 - Waiver of minimum rehab requirements
 - Waiver of Tax Abatement requirements
 - Use of federal and state resources such as CDBG, HOME, CDEF, and Project Based Section 8 Vouchers

4. MSHDA, HUD, RD, Michigan
Housing Council, Developer
Community (not represented by
Housing Council), MAHMA, Investor
Representatives, Municipalities,
Congressional Delegation

- Lack of available human resources and organizational structured capacity
- Competing interests among agencies and industry partners
- Ongoing data support
- General market conditions and economic constraints
- Lack of Legislative and Congressional will

WORKGROUP: PRESERVATION

STRATEGIC ISSUE:

3. Develop strategies that ensure quality of property and asset management.

FINAL RECOMMENDATION(S):	ACTION STEPS needed for follow up and implementation:	WHO must be involved in follow-up and implementation:
 Develop strategies that ensure agent and owners implement best practices. Release of Operating Assurance Escrows (to owner, not to RR) when best practices and occupancy objectives are met. Study other state housing agencies and multifamily lenders to determine best practices in the area of quality property an asset management. Consider: Initial Scope and minimum rehab requirements Use and benefit of C.N.A. Role of architect, plans and specifications Managing the rehabilitation, including temporary relocation Managing the possible transition in customers – transitioning from rent-based subsidy to LIHTC restricted units Staff Training, including marketing experience 		 MSHDA, HUD, RD, Michigan Housing Council, Developer Community (not represented by Housing Council), MAHMA, Investor Representatives MSHDA, HUD, RD, Michigan Housing Council, Developer Community (not represented by Housing Council), MAHMA, Investor Representatives MSHDA, HUD, RD, Michigan Housing Council, Developer Community (not represented by Housing Council), MAHMA, Investor Representatives

|--|

- Lack of available human resources and organizational structured capacity
- Competing interests among agencies and industry partners
- Ongoing data support
- General market conditions and economic constraints

WORKGROUP: PRESERVATION

STRATEGIC ISSUE:

4. Advocate for legislation to increase preservation of affordable housing.

FINAL RECOMMENDATION(S):	ACTION STEPS needed for follow up	WHO must be involved in follow-up and
A Asti al and analyzed these	and implementation:	implementation:
Actively advocate and support these		4 MOLIDA IIIID DD Miskins III siss
Congressional initiatives (in priority order):		1. MSHDA, HUD, RD, Michigan Housing
a. Double the current LIHTC allocation		Council, Developer Community (not
to \$3.70 per capita		represented by Housing Council),
b. Provide an exclusion of gain for		MAHMA, Investor Representatives,
qualified sales of multi-family		Municipalities, Congressional
housing		Delegation, Legislature, Executive
c. Authorize housing preservation		Office
matching grants to states		
d. Create a tax-based Employer		
Assisted Housing Program e. Authorize the continued use of		
Section 8 subsidy on REO family and non-202 elderly developments		
when purchased by a local unit of		
government		
f. Authorize the transfer of Section 8		
contract authority from one		
region/development of the country to		
another		
g. Permit existing tenants in supportive		
housing to use PBEVs		
h. Limit rent paid by tenants receiving		
Enhanced Vouchers to pay no more		
than 30% of income regardless of		
decrease in tenant's contribution.		
2. Actively oppose the President's Advisory		2. MSHDA, HUD, RD, Michigan Housing
Panel on Federal Tax Reform		Council, Developer Community (not

recommendations to eliminate the LIHTC.

- 3. Recommend and Actively advocate for the following state initiatives (in order of priority):
 - a. Amend MCLA 211.7Id) continued tax abatement for certain elderly and disabled developments
 - b. Create local property tax exemption and tax limitation increases for certain core and inner ring housing developments
 - c. Create the Michigan Affordable Housing Tax Credit
 - d. Create a program to provide 50% tax assessment reduction for rehabbed and preserved Section 8 and Section 236 developments
 - e. Require local assessors to exclude tax credits, subsidized mortgage financing and grants from assessment analysis

- represented by Housing Council), MAHMA, Investor Representatives, Municipalities, Congressional Delegation, Legislature, Executive Office.
- 3. MSHDA, HUD, RD, Michigan Housing Council, Developer Community (not represented by Housing Council), MAHMA, Investor Representatives, Municipalities, Congressional Delegation, Legislature, Executive Office

- Competing interests of various advocacy groups
- · Lack of congressional and legislative will
- · Lack of financial resources
- · Lack of available human resources and organizational structure and capacity
- · General Market and economic considerations and constraints

WORKGROUP: PRESERVATION

STRATEGIC ISSUE:

5. Understand the complexity and appropriateness of programs and regulations and identify and consider successful funding models.

FINAL RECOMMENDATION(S):	ACTION STEPS needed for follow up and implementation:	WHO must be involved in follow-up and implementation:
 Consider statewide market conditions and the existence of affordable housing in various communities. Study commissioned statewide market study and/or conduct surveys and focus groups to identify: Identify appropriate rents and vacancy projections. Target efforts to support preservation over new construction. Need/desirability for various resident services or amenity improvements and the effect they have on rents. 		MSHDA, HUD, RD, Michigan Housing Council, Developer Community (not represented by Housing Council), MAHMA, Investor Representatives, Municipalities
 2. Create a tool to assist owners or prospective buyers to properly evaluate and choose the product(s) that best meet the needs of the parties and promotes long-term affordable housing preservation. Identify and publish successful preservation product offerings. Disseminate preservation products and LIHTC information 		 MSHDA, HUD, RD, Michigan Housing Council, Developer Community (not represented by Housing Council), MAHMA, Investor Representatives, Municipalities

- to industry representatives.
- Create a bulletin board to match sellers and buyers.
- 3. Revise existing programs to achieve a simplified process through elimination of unnecessary bureaucracy. Create financing models that maximize:
 - Length and level of affordability
 - Benefit to the residents
 - Resident services
 - The number of affordable units preserved
- 3. Convene a team to annually to Identify other funding resources that leverage/supplement preservation products and publish.
- 4. Partner with regional and national tax credit syndicators to market preservation products.

 MSHDA, HUD, RD, Michigan Housing Council, Developer Community (not represented by Housing Council), MAHMA, Investor Representatives, Municipalities

- 4. MSHDA, HUD, RD, Michigan Housing Council, Developer Community (not represented by Housing Council), MAHMA, Investor Representatives, Municipalities
- MSHDA, HUD, RD, Michigan Housing Council, Developer Community (not represented by Housing Council), MAHMA, Investor Representatives, Municipalities

- Lack of available human resources and organizational structured capacity
- Competing interests among agencies and industry partners
- Ongoing data support
- General market conditions and economic constraints